

Tel Aviv

The report from TAU also has problems – some of them beyond the control of the faculty.

They are not in a position to reduce the use of external staff – I accept that point. They are trying to help advanced PhD students and external lecturers with their teaching.

They could do more to help students with communication (written, spoken and electronic) With this point however, I feel that there is a lack in overall requests from the visiting committee. I cannot believe that Tel Aviv gives less emphasis on presentation than BGU or the Technion but this was not mentioned for BGU or the Technion

I agree with the Dean of TAU that it is impossible to integrate Kellogg staff into the regular programs because there is no funding that will cover the huge cost. These visitors are very expensive and any regular Malag supported program cannot afford them!

As far as the brain drain is concerned, there is very little that TAU can do on its own. With the IDC so nearby and competing with TAU with far greater funds, TAU will continue to find it difficult to maintain its level of senior (and intermediate) faculty who, as soon as they can retire from TAU, will go to IDC if they can. This is a constant threat to TAU) even more than it is to other universities in Israel and is a problem for Malag as well as for TAU.

The report from TAU is short (too short) but I think it covers all the points raised by the committee