## REPORT ON ARIEL UNIVERSITY EVALUATION REVIEW COMMITTEE FOR THE EVALUATION OF LIFE-SCIENCE STUDIES IN ISRAEL

Dear Prof. Lynne Regan,
Thank you for your detailed recommendations. We carefully studied all your recommendations and incorporated them into our program. See our point-by-point responses to your comments in the following tables.

Kind regards,
Prof. Tovit Rosenzweig
Head, Department of Molecular Biology
Ariel University


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Committee } \\ \text { recommendation }\end{array}$ | Steps toward implementation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Essential |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { L.1. Implementing } \\ \text { Life-Sciences strategic } \\ \text { plan regarding } \\ \text { teaching, research, and } \\ \text { recruitment goals for } \\ \text { five-year intervals }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Currently, a strategic plan for the overall development of the University } \\ \text { during the next decade is being generated. This plan includes the } \\ \text { Department of Molecular Biology. The ongoing discussions cover } \\ \text { crucial aspects, including the direction in which the department will be } \\ \text { developed under the Faculty of Natural Science, the interplay between } \\ \text { the department and the School of Medicine and the Department of } \\ \text { Medical Sciences. The strategic decisions regarding the Department of } \\ \text { Molecular Biology will significantly impact our scientific pursuits, the } \\ \text { introduction of new study tracks, and the faculty's recruitment policy. In } \\ \text { general, the Department of Molecular Biology aspires to expand and }\end{array}$ |
| increase not only the number of faculty member, but also the biological |  |
| fields covered. This will be reflected by recruiting new faculty members |  |\(\left.\} \begin{array}{l}and opening new tracks for undergraduates, such as biotechnology, plant <br>

sciences and ecology. The department will recruit at least five faculty <br>

members in the coming five years. The completion of the strategic plan\end{array}\right\}\)| is anticipated by November 2024. |
| :--- |


|  | support. Information about the services provided by the Dean of the Student Unit and the specific support available to students is communicated through personal phone calls and frontal orientation meetings at the start of their studies. (Beginning of $1^{\text {st }}$ year) As detailed in the Evaluation report (Section 3.3.3), the support offered by the Dean of Student Office encompasses personal mentoring, reinforcement classes, remedial teaching, workshops on teaching strategies, and English courses. Some services are offered free of charge and others involve a nominal fee. Additionally, students have access to consultations on any aspect related to their academic lives on campus, along with career advice and training on securing employment. <br> Economic support is also extended through scholarships and favorable financial arrangements for tuition payment. The comprehensive approach reflects a commitment to addressing the diverse needs of the student community at Ariel University. <br> We think that this collaborative approach will help the students and will reduce the dropout rate. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.3. At the Institutional level, address the disparities in faculty members' representation and diversity; develop a plan to recruit more women and Arab faculty members. | Recruitment of new Faculty members depends on scientific achievements, teaching proficiency and the department's requirements, ensuring absolute equality and gender fairness among all Israeli candidates. The department makes efforts to recruit women and nonJewish faculty members for tenure-track positions. <br> A new female faculty member will be recruited to the department in the upcoming spring semester, reflecting our commitment to diversity. However, we are aware that the threshold condition for candidacy, which involves completing successful Postdoc training abroad, is a major obstacle, particularly affecting women. Therefore, we will also consider the candidacy of outstanding researchers who complete a combined Israeli-international Postdoc training. <br> In parallel, the department will proactively allocate potential female Postdoc candidates through Science Abroad and the WISER platform. |
| 2. Important |  |
| 2.1. To define and communicate the various criteria for "research excellence" for recruitment and promotion. | Criteria for recruitment, promotion and "research excellence" are already defined. <br> Recruitment: <br> As previously mentioned, the recruitment of new faculty members is contingent on scientific achievements, teaching proficiency, and alignment with the department's needs. The candidate's CV undergoes thorough review by the search committee, ensuring they meet specific recruitment criteria. These criteria include completing postdoctoral training abroad, a scientific excellence, reflected by a strong publication record in high-impact journals, a clear defined and innovative research proposal, and compelling recommendation letters. <br> Candidates who fulfill the criteria are invited to present a seminar (Job talk), followed by personal meetings with faculty members and the head of the department. The final evaluation involves consideration by tenured faculty members, who vote in favor of or against the candidate, shaping the ultimate decision. |


|  | Promotion criteria are published in the Hebrew website of the University (https://www.ariel.ac.i1/wp/wp- <br> content/uploads/2019/04/\%D7\%AA\%D7\%A7\%D7\%A0\%D7\%95\%D7 \%9F- <br> \%D7\%9E\%D7\%99\%D7\%A0\%D7\%95\%D7\%99\%D7\%99\%D7\%9D- <br> \%D7\%95\%D7\%A7\%D7\%99\%D7\%93\%D7\%95\%D7\%9D- <br> \%D7\%9C\%D7\%A1\%D7\%92\%D7\%9C- <br> \%D7\%94\%D7\%91\%D7\%9B\%D7\%99\%D7\%A8.pdf). <br> In addition, an effort to make the criteria and the process for promotion transparent, there are annual meetings of the Rector with Faculty members at different ranks (untenured lecturers, tenured lecturers and associate professors) to inform and to have Q\&A on promotion criteria and the process. <br> Additionally, the department holds annual personal meetings between the Head of the department and faculty members. During these sessions, achievements are reviewed, and attention is given to identifying additional milestones necessary for promotion. This proactive approach ensures a clear understanding of the path to advancement and provides valuable guidance for each faculty member's professional growth. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.2. The Institution should establish faculty peer teaching evaluations, or various other mechanisms to evaluate instruction, as opposed to solely relying on student surveys. | The current student evaluations provide a valuable information regarding general student satisfaction, but do not reflect the overall educational work in the course. The university is in the process of adopting a tool for multidimensional assessment of teaching, which is based on a self-evaluation of teaching according to various criteria. The assessment tool includes balanced multi-dimensional assessment based on a variety of sources while combining formative and summative assessments. <br> The new assessment process reflects trends and shifts occurring within academic education, and includes the following: <br> - Emphasis on imparting skills in addition to conveying knowledge <br> - Transition to research-based teaching <br> - Transition from teacher-centered learning to student-centered learning <br> - Assimilation of digital technologies <br> - Transition to multidimensional assessment that combines formative assessment and summative assessment. |
| 2.3. The Faculty and the Department should define policies for teaching oversight, pedagogical instruction and help, and allocation of teaching assignments amongst faculty members. These should be communicated and available to faculty | While the majority of courses in our M.Sc. program incorporate active learning components, we acknowledge that this is not consistently reflected in our undergraduate program. This discrepancy primarily stems from the need for additional TAs to support lecturers in classes that include large number of students. <br> In light of this valuable evaluation report, we are committed to addressing this issue. There are two different aspects of this problem: 1) the digitalization of courses with the implementation of active learning, and 2) the allocation of teaching assignments, to diversify evaluation methods. <br> In the upcoming year, we will initiate the implementation of active learning in some courses (General Biology, and Cytogenetics). This |


| members, adjunct faculty, and Tas. | process will expand to additional courses in the coming years. This will be done with the support of the unit of digital learning at the university, headed by Mrs. Keren Barak. <br> The unit of digital learning will provide full support for the transformation of courses into digital mode, encouraging active and interactive learning. This will be achieved by creating videos to impart knowledge, including visual demonstrations, on-line activities and quizzes. Digital courses will include some in-person lectures, to support active learning. Lecturers will also be available for students during reception hours. These courses will undergo a shift in assessment, moving away from the reliance on multiple-choice tests. <br> In parallel, the department is in the process of implementing active learning tools in all frontal courses, and more diverse evaluation methods will be implemented in the coming future. Teaching assistants are expected to be assigned alongside the course lecturer, to ensure the successful integration of active learning strategies and diverse evaluation methods. We are optimistic that this requirement will receive support from the university. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.4. The Institution should make an effort to improve the currently insufficient monetary amounts granted as start-up packages for new faculty hires. | The university is aware of the relatively-limited start-up package provided to new faculty member and aspires to increase the amounts. This process is in motion, and the most recent faculty member hired received 1 million NIS as startup funds. It's important to note that the university covers all costs associated with the basic organization of new labs, including construction, furniture, hoods, etc. Additionally, the university provides scholarships for research students, covering the full expenses for MSc and PhD students and partially covering costs for Postdocs . <br> The university is aware of the relatively-limited start-up package provided to new faculty member and aspires to increase the amounts. This process is in motion, and the most recent faculty member hired received 1 million NIS as startup funds. It's important to note that the university covers all costs associated with the basic organization of new labs, including construction, furniture, hoods, etc. Additionally, the university provides scholarships for research students, covering the full expenses for MSc and PhD students and partially covering costs for Postdocs . <br> Furthermore, the university invests millions of NIS annually in acquiring new research facilities for faculty use, and faculty members are not required to contribute financially. This reflects the university's commitment to providing financial support to faculty members. We anticipate that these efforts will continue and expand in the coming years. |
| 2.5. The Department should implement a structured mentoring committee for faculty members to improve the opportunities for attaining tenure and promotions. | The department will establish a mentoring committee that will meet twice a year with the untenured faculty, provide assistance, and improve opportunities/collaborations to attain a tenure or promotion. <br> Furthermore, the mentoring committee will actively evaluate teaching quality by attending lectures delivered by new faculty members. Constructive feedback and suggestions for improvement will be provided as needed, ensuring a continuous enhancement of teaching capabilities. This recommendation will be implemented this year. |


| 2.6. The Department <br> should offer more <br> diverse courses in <br> molecular biology by <br> augmenting the <br> teaching personnel <br> with dedicated <br> teaching adjuncts and <br> TAs. | We agree that the department should add additional diverse courses. <br> Currently, B.Sc. students can choose between three tracks of the <br> program, but there are no elective courses within the tracks. M.Sc. and <br> PhD students can choose elective courses, although the number of <br> courses is limited due to the small faculty size. We intend to minimize <br> this limitation by opening additional new courses in molecular biology <br> that will be opened for both graduate and undergraduate (3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ year) <br> students. <br> This approach will enable us to increase the number of elective courses <br> available for both BSc and MSc programs. Courses will be taught by the <br> faculty member and by teaching adjuncts, which is also the situation <br> today. We are planning to incorporate new courses in the program in the <br> coming year (Winter 2024). We intend to add elective courses exclusive <br> to the M.Sc. program, including advanced course in ecology and a <br> laboratory course on flow cytometry. In addition, we intend to add <br> additional two elective courses jointly with the B.Sc. program including <br> courses in brain science and plant biotechnology. The purpose of these <br> changes is to diversify the program curriculum and increase elective <br> courses options. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.7. At the Department <br> level, diversify and <br> upgrade student <br> coursework evaluation <br> methods through <br> presentations, writing <br> assignments, regular <br> quizzes, and other <br> means in addition to <br> end-of-course exams <br> only. | See our answer in section 2.3. |
| 2.8. Establish a series <br> of academic lectures, <br> seminars and <br> workshops by external <br> professionals, to <br> enhance the <br> networking <br> opportunities for the <br> Department and <br> broaden the education <br> and expertise of the <br> students. | The department has a weekly seminar throughout the academic year for <br> graduate students and faculty. The lectures in the departmental seminar <br> are given by visiting external professionals. |
| 2.9. Establish career <br> development seminars <br> and work fairs, <br> including alumni in <br> the activities. | We started a program of invited lectures of alumni and professionals <br> from industry for undergraduates and graduate students to expose them <br> to potential career options. This program was started during the last <br> academic year, received very good feedbacks from the students, and <br> will be continued in the future. |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { and bring about a positive transformation in the university's Alumni } \\ \text { network. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { 2.10. At the Faculty } \\ \text { level, identify and } \\ \text { provide an onboarding } \\ \text { period for incoming } \\ \text { Deans and other } \\ \text { leadership positions, } \\ \text { and ensure continuity } \\ \text { of best practice. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { The University acknowledges the significance of a seamless transition } \\ \text { for new leaders and the imperative to uphold the ongoing best practices. } \\ \text { A comprehensive pre-arrival orientation program has been devised, } \\ \text { providing an overview of the Faculty of Natural Sciences' vision, } \\ \text { mission, and strategic goals, consolidating the corresponding } \\ \text { approaches of the constituent departments. }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { In pursuit of this, the incoming Dean has structured the onboarding } \\ \text { period as follows: } \\ \text { The Dean has initiated a collaborative program with the } \\ \text { outgoing Dean and other experienced individuals within the }\end{array} \\ \text { Faculty of Natural Sciences. } \\ \text { Regular meetings with "key stakeholders," encompassing } \\ \text { department heads, faculty members, administrative staff, and } \\ \text { student representatives, have been facilitated by the Dean. }\end{array}\right\}$

| 3. Desirable |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3.1. In future SERs, <br> address all relevant <br> ongoing and future <br> challenges, including <br> the political and <br> geographical <br> challenges, so they are <br> clear to the evaluating <br> Committee prior to the <br> visit. | Ariel University confronts various external challenges, with the <br> European boycott standing out as a significant obstacle. The need to <br> identify alternative resources to mitigate the impact on research is <br> crucial. We remain optimistic that the academic community will assess <br> Ariel University based on its scholarly accomplishments, ensuring that <br> political considerations do not influence the assessment. |
| 3.2. At the Department <br> level, provide the <br> opportunity to take <br> more Molecular <br> Biology courses at <br> other institutions to <br> compensate for the <br> lack of breadth in the <br> courses currently <br> available; facilitate <br> means for commuting <br> and/or allow hybrid <br> attendance, and <br> provide guidance to <br> students in selecting <br> the relevant courses. | The possibility to attend courses at other universities is already open for <br> MSc and PhD students. In order to approve attendance and refund, the <br> student should justify the need, the specific importance of the desired <br> course for the student's scientific development. |
| 3.3. At the <br> Institutional level, <br> provide a program for <br> student activities to <br> foster a sense of <br> community amongst <br> and between <br> commuter and dorm- <br> living students | There are many activities for Israeli and foreign students, living in or <br> outside the dormitories, organized by the dean or by the international <br> unit. These include activities for holidays, tours, workshops, etc. |

